

Lorentz Symmetry Violation and Galactic Magnetism

L. Campanelli^{1,2,*} P. Cea^{1,2,†} and G.L. Fogli^{1,2‡}

¹*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Bari, I-70126 Bari, Italy and*

²*INFN - Sezione di Bari, I-70126 Bari, Italy*

(Dated: December, 2008)

We analyze the generation of primordial magnetic fields during de Sitter inflation in a Lorentz-violating theory of Electrodynamics containing a Chern-Simons term which couples the photon to an external four-vector. We find that, for appropriate magnitude of the four-vector, the generated field is maximally helical and, through an inverse cascade caused by turbulence of primeval plasma, reaches at the time of protogalactic collapse an intensity and correlation length such as to directly explain galactic magnetism.

Up to today, no compelling evidence for violation of Lorentz symmetry exists (for a recent review see [1]). The main motivation to investigate possible consequences of Lorentz violation is that in unified theories at the Planck scale, such as quantum gravity, it is allowed or even unavoidable. Hence, any direct or indirect evidence of Lorentz violation would give information about very-high-energy physics or, in a cosmological context, about physics of the very early universe.

In this paper, we indeed claim that the existence of galactic magnetic fields could be an indirect evidence of Lorentz symmetry violation. Magnetic fields are observed in any types of galaxies (for reviews see [2]). They are correlated on scales of order of galactic dimensions ($\simeq 10\text{kpc}$) and have an intensity of about 10^{-6}G .

In the existing literature, it is assumed that a primordial magnetic field B_ξ (coherent within domains of physical size ξ_{phys}) remains frozen into the plasma during the *collapse* of a protogalaxy owing to the high conductivity of the protogalactic gas [2]. Accordingly, since the physical size $L_{\text{phys}} \simeq 10\text{kpc}$ and mass density $\rho_{\text{pg}} \simeq 10^{-23}\text{g cm}^{-3}$ [3] of a protogalaxy remain approximately constant during the collapse, B_ξ is amplified by a factor $[\rho_{\text{pg}}/\rho(t)]^{2/3}$ while ξ_{phys} is reduced by $[\rho_{\text{pg}}/\rho(t)]^{1/3}$ with respect to a field which is not trapped in a protogalaxy. Here, $\rho(t) \propto 1/t^2$ is the (time-dependent) total energy density of the universe. Taking into account that $\rho(t_0) \simeq 10^{-29}\text{g cm}^{-3}$ [4], where $t_0 \simeq 13.7\text{Gyr}$ is the actual age of the universe [5], one finds the “canonical” result that the overall amplification of B_ξ and dilution of ξ_{phys} are respectively 10^4 and 10^2 [2].

The above analysis, however, is not completely correct. In fact, being the collapse of the protogalactic gas a turbulent phenomenon [6], we expect that the magnetic field will be processed by magnetohydrodynamic effects. Defining as usual the kinetic and magnetic Reynolds numbers at the length scale ξ_{phys} as $\text{Re} = v\xi_{\text{phys}}/\nu$ and $\text{Re}_B = v\xi_{\text{phys}}\sigma_c$, where v is the typical velocity of the fluid motion, ν the kinematic viscosity, and σ_c the conductivity, magnetohydrodynamic turbulence occurs when Re and Re_B are greater than unity [7]. Applying the results of [6] we have that, in a typical protogalaxy, v is given by the “virial thermal speed”, $v_{\text{th}} \simeq$

10^{-3} [8], the kinematic viscosity is dominated by ions, $\nu \simeq \nu_i \simeq 10^{-4}\text{pc}$, while the conductivity is the inverse of the Spitzer resistivity, $\eta_S \simeq 10^{-25}\text{pc}$. Consequently, we have $\text{Re} \simeq \xi_{\text{phys}}/0.1\text{pc}$ and $\text{Re}_B \simeq 10^{21}\text{Re}$, which shows that magnetic domains of physical size greater than 0.1pc evolve turbulently during protogalactic collapse.

In the framework of freely-decaying magnetohydrodynamic turbulence, it is a well-known result that the evolution of a maximally helical field is characterized by the quasi-conservation of magnetic helicity which, in turn, triggers and sustains a transfer of magnetic energy from small to large scales, a mechanism known as *inverse cascade* [7] (for a definition of magnetic helicity and maximally helical fields, see below). As a result, the intensity of the magnetic field inside a domain is not longer constant but decays as $B_\xi \propto \xi_{\text{phys}}^{-1/2}$ [9], while the physical correlation length grows as $\xi_{\text{phys}}(t) \simeq \xi_{\text{phys}}(t_{\text{pg}})[t/\tau_{\text{eddy}}(t_{\text{pg}})]^{2/3}$ [9] for $t \gg \tau_{\text{eddy}}(t_{\text{pg}})$, where t_{pg} is the time when protogalactic collapse begins (corresponding to a redshift of order $z_{\text{pg}} \sim 10^2$ [2, 10]) and $\tau_{\text{eddy}}(t) = \xi_{\text{phys}}/v$ is the so-called eddy turnover time. Using this latter evolution law, we find that after a time $t_* \simeq [0.1\text{pc}/\xi_{\text{phys}}(t_{\text{pg}})]^{1/2}t_0$ the correlation length reaches the maximum possible value corresponding to the protogalaxy dimension L_{phys} . This means that for $t \gtrsim t_*$ the magnetic field remains effectively frozen in the protogalaxy, $B_\xi(t) = \text{const}$ and $\xi_{\text{phys}}(t) = \text{const}$. The foregoing analysis, if on the one hand is oversimplified, on the other hand indicates that if the magnetic field at the time of protogalactic collapse is *i*) turbulent [i.e. $\xi_{\text{phys}}(t_{\text{pg}}) \gtrsim 0.1\text{pc}$], *ii*) maximally helical, and *iii*) has an intensity equal to $B_\xi(t_{\text{pg}}) \simeq 10^{-6}[10\text{kpc}/\xi_{\text{phys}}(t_{\text{pg}})]^{1/2}\text{G}$ [so that $B_\xi(t_*) = 10^{-6}\text{G}$], it explains galactic magnetism.

The possibility that Lorentz violation could give rise to large-scale magnetic fields was investigated for the first time by Bertolami and Mota [11] in the context of string theories. Developing the original idea by Kostelecký, Potting and Samuel [12], they showed that the appearance of an effective photon mass owing to spontaneous breaking of Lorentz invariance allows the generation of astrophysically interesting magnetic fields within inflationary scenarios. To our knowledge, the connection between Lorentz symmetry violation and cosmic magnetic

fields has been studied only in three other papers, within the framework of either noncommutative spacetimes [13] or quantum theory with noncommutative fields [14].

In this paper, we consider a modification of standard electromagnetism by adding to the Maxwell Lagrangian a Chern-Simons term. Although this is not the only admissible Lorentz-violating term [15], it is the archetypal one. First studied by Carroll, Field, and Jackiw [16] in 1990, its cosmological and astrophysical implications are still investigated nowadays (see, e.g., [5]). In curved spacetimes, the Maxwell-Chern-Simons action reads [15]:

$$S_{\text{MCS}} = \int d^4x e \left(-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} p_\kappa e_\mu^\kappa A_\nu \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \right), \quad (1)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the electromagnetic field strength tensor, $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = (1/2e) \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} F_{\rho\sigma}$, e the determinant of the vierbein e_μ^κ , and $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ the Levi-Civita tensor density. The external four-vector p^μ pick out a preferred direction in spacetime and then breaks (particle) Lorentz invariance [16, 17, 18]. Moreover, the Chern-Simons term violates CPT symmetry and, in general, conformal invariance (which is an essential requirement for the generation of seed magnetic fields in *spatially-flat* models of the universe [19]). However, if one wants to retain gauge invariance, a supplementary condition on p_μ has to be imposed [16]: $D_\mu p_\nu - D_\nu p_\mu = \partial_\mu p_\nu - \partial_\nu p_\mu = 0$, where D_μ is the spacetime covariant derivative. In particular, the above condition is satisfied if p^μ is a constant, or if $p^i = 0$ and p^0 is an arbitrary function of time (Latin indexes run from 1 to 3, while Greek ones from 0 to 3).

The equations of motion follow from action (1), $D_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = p_\mu \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$, while the Bianchi identities are $D_\mu \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0$. We assume that during inflation the universe is described by a de Sitter metric $ds^2 = a^2(d\eta^2 - d\mathbf{x}^2)$, where $a(\eta)$ is the expansion parameter, $\eta = -1/(aH)$ the conformal time, and H the Hubble parameter. Let us introduce in the usual way the electric and magnetic fields as $F_{0i} = -a^2 E_i$ and $F_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} a^2 B_k$. In vector notation, the equations of motion read

$$\partial_\eta(a^2 \mathbf{E}) - \nabla \times a^2 \mathbf{B} = ma^2 \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{p} \times a^2 \mathbf{E} \quad (2)$$

and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = -\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{B}$, while the Bianchi identities become $\partial_\eta(a^2 \mathbf{B}) + \nabla \times a^2 \mathbf{E} = 0$ and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$, where $p^\mu = (m, \mathbf{p})$.

From Eq. (2), we readily get that the effect of $\mathbf{p}$ is just to cause a precession of the electric field vector. Therefore, if the external four-vector takes the form $p^\mu = (0, \mathbf{p})$, the Chern-Simons term does not break conformal invariance of electromagnetism so that, as shown long time ago by Turner and Widrow [20], no astrophysically interesting magnetic fields can be produced during inflation. For this reason, we will restrict our analysis to the case $p^\mu = (m, \mathbf{0})$, with m a positive mass parameter which, eventually, depends on the time. In this case, the external four-vector does not introduce any preferred direction in space (but just a preferred direction in time)

and inflation-produced magnetic fields will appear homogeneous and isotropic. This means that the two-point correlation tensor of the magnetic field, $\langle B_i(\mathbf{x}) B_j(\mathbf{y}) \rangle$, where $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes ensemble average, is a function of $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|$ only and moreover transforms as an $SO(3)$ tensor. In terms of the Fourier amplitudes of the magnetic field, $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{k}} = \int d^3x e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x})$, where $\mathbf{k}$ is the comoving wavenumber, these conditions translate into [21]:

$$\langle (\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{k}})_i (\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{k}'})_j \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \left[P_{ij}^{(S)} \frac{\pi^2 \mathcal{P}_k}{k^3} - P_{ij}^{(A)} \frac{\pi^2 \mathcal{H}_k}{k^2} \right], \quad (3)$$

where $P_{ij}^{(S)} = (2\pi)^3 (\delta_{ij} - \hat{k}_i \hat{k}_j)$, $P_{ij}^{(A)} = i(2\pi)^3 \epsilon_{ijk} \hat{k}_l$, δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta, $\hat{k}_i = k_i/k$, $k = |\mathbf{k}|$, and ϵ_{ijk} is the totally antisymmetric tensor in three dimensions. The functions $\mathcal{P}_k$ and $\mathcal{H}_k$, the so-called magnetic field and magnetic helicity power spectra, denote the symmetric and antisymmetric parts of the correlator.

Let us define the average magnetic field on a comoving scale λ and the magnetic helicity density in a volume $V = \lambda^3$ respectively as [22] $B_\lambda^2 = \langle |\int d^3y W_\lambda(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{y})|^2 \rangle$ and $H_\lambda = \langle |\int d^3y \int d^3z W_\lambda(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) W_\lambda(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}) \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{z})| \rangle$, where $W_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi\lambda^2)^{-3/2} e^{-|\mathbf{x}|^2/(2\lambda^2)}$ is a Gaussian window function. Then, taking into account Eq. (3), we get

$$B_\lambda^2 = \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k} W_\lambda^2(k) \mathcal{P}_k, \quad H_\lambda = \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k} W_\lambda^2(k) \mathcal{H}_k, \quad (4)$$

with $W_\lambda(k) = e^{-\lambda^2 k^2/2}$ the Fourier transform of $W_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$. It is useful to introduce the so-called orthonormal helicity basis $\{\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}^+, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}^-, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}^3\}$, where $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}^\pm = -i(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}^1 \pm i\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}^2)/\sqrt{2}$, $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}^3 = \hat{\mathbf{k}}$, and $\{\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}^1, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}^2, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}^3\}$ form a right-handed orthonormal basis. The Fourier transform of the magnetic field can be then decomposed as $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{k}} = B_{\mathbf{k}}^+ \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}^+ + B_{\mathbf{k}}^- \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{k}}^-$, $B_{\mathbf{k}}^\pm$ being the positive and negative helicity components of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{k}}$, so the magnetic and helicity power spectra become [23] $\mathcal{P}_k = (k^3/2\pi^2) (|B_{\mathbf{k}}^+|^2 + |B_{\mathbf{k}}^-|^2)$ and $\mathcal{H}_k = (k^2/2\pi^2) (|B_{\mathbf{k}}^+|^2 - |B_{\mathbf{k}}^-|^2)$. A magnetic field is *maximally helical* at the time η' if either $B_{\mathbf{k}}^+(\eta')$ or $B_{\mathbf{k}}^-(\eta')$ is zero.

Taking the curl of Eq. (2), using the Bianchi identities, and going into Fourier space, we get

$$\partial_\eta^2(a^2 B_{\mathbf{k}}^\pm) + k(k \pm m) a^2 B_{\mathbf{k}}^\pm = 0. \quad (5)$$

Before proceeding farther, we note that Eq. (5) is valid also during radiation and matter dominated eras. Positing in it the phase-exponential ansatz, $a^2 B_{\mathbf{k}}^\pm \propto e^{i\omega\eta}$, we recover the well-known result that two polarization states of photons propagate with different phase velocities, causing the polarization plane to rotate by an angle $\Delta\phi = -(1/2) \int d\eta m(\eta)$ (to the first order in m/k) [16].

The study of this effect on cosmological scales, known as *cosmological birefringence*, gives the most stringent bounds on m [16, 24]. In fact, $\Delta\phi$ has been constrained by observation of radio galaxies and quasars, $\Delta\phi(z_{3C9}) = 2^\circ \pm 3^\circ$ (68% C.L.) [25] (where 3C9 at $z_{3C9} = 2.012$ is the

best data sets available at a single redshift), and by the analysis of Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) polarization fluctuations [5]. In the latter case, $\Delta\phi$ has been constrained between the reionization epoch ($z_{\text{reion}} \sim 10$) and today, $-22.2^\circ < \Delta\phi(z_{\text{reion}}) < 7.2^\circ$ (95% C.L.), and between the decoupling epoch ($z_{\text{dec}} \simeq 1090$) and today, $-5.5^\circ < \Delta\phi(z_{\text{dec}}) < 3.1^\circ$ (95% C.L.). The above limits give, with m constant in time, the bound $m \lesssim 10^{-34}\text{eV}$. However, this bound refers to relatively recent epochs and it is not excluded that in the early universe the value of m could have been much greater. In order to avoid inessential complications, we assume that Lorentz symmetry is broken at some energy scale before or during inflation, and that m is constant during inflation and decreases afterward to fulfil the above constraint.

The solution of Eq. (5) with m constant is

$$a^2 B_{\mathbf{k}}^\pm = \pm k \exp[ik\eta\sqrt{1 \pm m/k}]/\sqrt{2k}. \quad (6)$$

Here, the normalization is such that for $\eta \rightarrow -\infty$ (where m is supposed to be zero) we have the usual Maxwell vacuum spectrum, $a^2 B_{\mathbf{k}}^\pm = \pm k e^{ik\eta}/\sqrt{2k}$. From Eq. (6) we easily deduce that only negative-helicity modes such that $1/(m\eta^2) \ll k \lesssim m$ are (exponentially) amplified and that in this case $a^2 B_{\mathbf{k}}^+ \simeq k \exp(k|\eta|\sqrt{m/k})/\sqrt{2k}$. Using Eq. (4), the amplitude of the (almost) maximally helical field at the end of inflation, $\eta = \eta_{\text{rh}}$, reads

$$a_{\text{rh}}^2 B_\lambda(\eta_{\text{rh}}) \simeq e^\gamma / 2\pi\lambda^2 \sqrt{\gamma}, \quad (7)$$

where $a_{\text{rh}} = a(\eta_{\text{rh}})$, $\gamma = (m\eta_{\text{rh}}^2/\lambda)^{1/2}$, and we assumed $1/m \lesssim \lambda \ll m\eta_{\text{rh}}^2$ [26]. Looking at Eq. (7), we see that the magnetic field is peaked at small scales since the exponential factor is inversely proportional to $\sqrt{\lambda}$. Therefore, at the end of inflation, magnetic power is highly concentrated in scales just a little greater than $\lambda \simeq 1/m$ and negligible at larger scales. In this case, the condition $\lambda \ll m\eta_{\text{rh}}^2$ (or equivalently $\gamma \gg 1$) reads $m|\eta_{\text{rh}}| \gg 1$. This means that the mode corresponding to $\lambda \simeq 1/m$ is well inside the horizon since its physical wavelength is much smaller than the Hubble radius H^{-1} .

After inflation, the universe enters in the so-called reheating phase, during which the energy of the inflaton is converted into ordinary matter. In this paper, we restrict our analysis to the case of instant reheating, that is we assume that after inflation the universe enters the radiation dominated era. In this era, as well as in the subsequent matter era, the effects of the conducting primordial plasma are important when studying the evolution of a magnetic field. They are taken into account by adding to the Maxwell action the source term $\int d^4x e j^\mu A_\mu$, where $j^\mu = (0, \sigma_c \mathbf{E})$ is the external current [20] (we are assuming that the Chern-Simons term is negligible after inflation). Plasma effects introduce, in the left-hand-side of Eq. (5), the term $-a\sigma_c \partial_\eta (a^2 B_{\mathbf{k}}^\pm)$.

For modes well inside the horizon, $k|\eta| \gg 1$, Eq. (5) reduces to $k^2 a^2 B_{\mathbf{k}}^\pm \simeq -a\sigma_c \partial_\eta (a^2 B_{\mathbf{k}}^\pm)$ so that $a^2 B_{\mathbf{k}}^\pm(\eta) =$

$a_{\text{rh}}^2 B_{\mathbf{k}}^\pm(\eta_{\text{rh}}) \exp[-\int d\eta (k^2/a\sigma_c)]$. Introducing the comoving *dissipation length*, $\xi_{\text{diss}}^2 = \int d\eta (1/a\sigma_c) \simeq 1/(a^2 H \sigma_c)$, where we used the relation $\eta \simeq 1/(aH)$ valid in all eras, we get $a^2 B_{\mathbf{k}}^\pm(\eta) = a_{\text{rh}}^2 B_{\mathbf{k}}^\pm(\eta_{\text{rh}}) \exp(-\xi_{\text{diss}}^2 k^2)$. This means that modes with comoving wavelength greater than the comoving dissipation length are frozen into the plasma, while modes for which $\lambda \lesssim \xi_{\text{diss}}$ are washed out. In particular, only modes with comoving wavelength greater than the actual dissipation length, $\xi_{\text{diss}}(T_0) \simeq 1\text{AU}$ [2], can survive until today ($T_0 \simeq 2 \times 10^{-4}\text{eV}$ is the actual temperature [4]). But this is not our case since wavelengths greater than 1AU would correspond to modes outside the horizon at the end of inflation. Thus, we find the uninteresting result that the inflation-produced field is dissipated soon after the beginning of radiation era.

This situation drastically changes if one takes into account that the primordial plasma could have been turbulent [2]. Indeed, turbulence naturally arises during (first-order) cosmological phase transitions and those could have affected the universe during its evolution. In the following we simply assume that the early universe is turbulent without referring to any specific, generating model of turbulence (if this is not the case, our mechanism cannot account for the presence of large-scale magnetic fields in galaxies, as discussed above).

At the beginning of radiation era, $T = T_{\text{rh}}$, and at the comoving scale $1/m$ the kinetic and magnetic Reynolds numbers are $\text{Re} \simeq \alpha v T_{\text{rh}}/m$ and $\text{Re}_B \simeq v T_{\text{rh}}/(\alpha m)$, where we used $\nu \simeq 1/(\alpha T)$, $\sigma_c \simeq T/\alpha$ [9], and α is fine structure constant. For an incompressible, relativistic turbulent plasma an upper limit to v is the sound speed $v_s = 1/\sqrt{3}$. Taking, for example, $T_{\text{rh}} \simeq 10^8\text{GeV}$, $m \simeq 10^{-13}\text{eV}$, and $v \simeq v_s$, we get $\text{Re} \simeq 10^{27}$ and $\text{Re}_B \simeq 10^{32}$. The temperature at the beginning of radiation era, the so-called *reheat temperature*, is constrained in the range $1\text{GeV} \lesssim T_{\text{rh}} \lesssim 10^8\text{GeV}$ from analyses of Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) and CMB radiation [27]. For instant reheating, it results $T_{\text{rh}} \simeq (H m_{\text{Pl}})^{1/2}$ [20], where H is the Hubble parameter during inflation and $m_{\text{Pl}} \simeq 10^{19}\text{GeV}$ the Planck mass.

In a spatially-flat Friedman universe, the decay of a turbulent, maximally helical field proceeds through inverse cascade and the evolution laws are equal to those in a static Minkowski universe provided that time, intensity and physical correlation length of the magnetic field are replaced by the conformal time, the “comoving intensity” $a^2 B_\xi$ and comoving length, respectively [9]. Therefore, we have $a^2 B_\xi \propto \xi^{-1/2}$ and $\xi(\eta) \simeq \xi(\eta_{\text{rh}})[\eta/\tau_{\text{eddy}}(\eta_{\text{rh}})]^{2/3}$, where $\tau_{\text{eddy}}(\eta_{\text{rh}}) = \xi(\eta_{\text{rh}})/v(\eta_{\text{rh}})$. The inverse cascade terminates when the primordial plasma ceases to be turbulent. This happens when the universe has sufficiently cooled down to render kinematic viscosity very large, thereby causing the kinetic Reynolds number to drop below unity at the scale of interest. From this point on, the evolution of the magnetic field is adiabatic, in the sense that $a^2 B_\xi = \text{const}$ and $\xi = \text{const}$. Taking into

account that for $T \ll 1\text{MeV}$ the kinematic viscosity is $\nu \simeq 10^{11}\text{MeV}^2/T^3$ [9] and that v scales in time as the co-moving magnetic field, $v(\eta) \simeq v(\eta_{\text{rh}})[\tau_{\text{eddy}}(\eta_{\text{rh}})/\eta]^{1/3}$ [9], we obtain the temperature at which the plasma becomes non-turbulent [starting at the time η_{rh} with $v(\eta_{\text{rh}}) \simeq v_s$ and $\xi(\eta_{\text{rh}}) \simeq 1/m$]: $T_{\text{nt}} \simeq 32m_{13}^{1/4}\text{eV}$ or $T_{\text{nt}} \simeq 28m_{13}^{4/17}\text{eV}$ according to $m_{13} \gtrsim 6 \times 10^{-5}$ or $m_{13} \lesssim 6 \times 10^{-5}$, where $m_{13} = m/10^{-13}\text{eV}$. The two cases correspond, respectively, to have $T_{\text{nt}} \gtrsim T_{\text{eq}}$ or $T_{\text{nt}} \lesssim T_{\text{eq}}$, where $T_{\text{eq}} \simeq 3\text{eV}$ is the temperature at the matter-radiation equality [4].

Evolving (along the lines discussed above) the magnetic field (7) evaluated at the scale $1/m$, from the end of inflation till the time of protogalactic collapse, we get

$$B_\xi(t_{\text{pg}}) \simeq 3 \times 10^{-31} \left[\frac{10\text{kpc}}{\xi_{\text{phys}}(t_{\text{pg}})} \right]^{1/2} m_{13} T_8^{1/2} e^\gamma \text{G}, \quad (8)$$

$$\xi_{\text{phys}}(t_{\text{pg}}) \simeq \begin{cases} 0.1 m_{13}^{-1/2} \text{pc} & \text{if } m_{13} \gtrsim 6 \times 10^{-5}, \\ 0.3 m_{13}^{-7/17} \text{pc} & \text{if } m_{13} \lesssim 6 \times 10^{-5}, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

where $\gamma \simeq m|\eta_{\text{rh}}| \simeq 52 m_{13} T_8^{-1}$ and $T_8 = T_{\text{rh}}/10^8\text{GeV}$. In order to explain the existence of galactic magnetic fields, we impose $B_\xi(t_{\text{pg}}) \simeq 10^{-6}[10\text{kpc}/\xi_{\text{phys}}(t_{\text{pg}})]^{1/2}\text{G}$. From Eq. (8), it is easy to see that the above requirement is satisfied for $m_{13} \simeq T_8$, so we find that the magnetic field at the time of protogalactic collapse has a physical correlation length ranging from 0.1pc to 0.6kpc and then could be exactly the field detected in galaxies [28].

Finally, if we assume as in Ref. [11] that m scales as a power of temperature, $m(T) \propto T^l$, and take $l = 1$ (i.e. m simply redshifts after inflation), we find that its present-day value is $m_0 \simeq 2 \times 10^{-34}\text{eV}$, and that the rotation angle of polarized light emitted from a source at a redshift z_i and detected today is $\Delta\phi(z_i) \simeq (3/2)t_0 m_0 [1 - \sqrt{1+z_i}]$. For the three cases discussed above, we get $\Delta\phi(z_{3C9}) \simeq -8^\circ$, $\Delta\phi(z_{\text{reion}}) \simeq -26^\circ$, and $\Delta\phi(z_{\text{dec}}) \simeq -362^\circ$ (equivalent to -2°) which shows, roughly speaking, that m is consistent with observational constraints for $l \gtrsim 1$.

It is worth stressing that, although other mechanisms for generating cosmic magnetic fields exist in the literature [2], the field produced in our mechanism has three features which are not simultaneously present in any of them: it directly explains galactic magnetism (without resorting to further and still-debated amplification mechanisms such as *galactic dynamos* [2, 29], it is maximally helical, and its power is concentrated on a single scale, the magnetic correlation length. These characteristics make it peculiar and hopefully detectable in future observations of CMB temperature and polarization fluctuations [30].

In conclusion, we have studied the generation of a cosmic magnetic field in Maxwell-Chern-Simons theory of Electrodynamics containing a Lorentz-violating coupling between the photon and an external four-vector. The breaking of conformal invariance in this theory allow electromagnetic vacuum fluctuations to be amplified during inflation. The resulting field at the end of

(de Sitter) inflation is maximally helical and is subsequently processed by magnetohydrodynamic effects taking place in the primeval turbulent plasma. In particular, quasi-conservation of magnetic helicity is responsible for an inverse cascade of magnetic spectrum which causes a growth of the correlation length together with a slow dissipation of the magnetic field intensity. As a result, for appropriate magnitude of the four-vector, the produced field at the time of protogalactic collapse has an intensity and correlation length of the right magnitude in order to explain galactic magnetism.

We thank V. A. Kostecký for valuable comments.

* Electronic address: leonardo.campanelli@ba.infn.it

† Electronic address: paolo.cea@ba.infn.it

‡ Electronic address: gianluigi.fogli@ba.infn.it

- [1] V. A. Kostecký, arXiv:0802.0581 [gr-qc].
- [2] L. M. Widrow, Rev. Mod. Phys. **74**, 775 (2002); M. Giovannini, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **13**, 391 (2004); D. Grasso and H. R. Rubinstein, Phys. Rept. **348**, 163 (2001).
- [3] Due to the very high temperature and very low density of the collapsing cloud, the protogalactic gas is fully ionized. The mass density ρ_{pg} refers to this component of the protogalaxy and does not take into account the “dark matter” component which constitutes about the 90% of the protogalactic mass. In this paper, we assume that the total mass of a typical protogalaxy is 10^{11} solar masses.
- [4] E. W. Kolb and M. S. Turner, *The Early Universe* (Addison-Wesley, Redwood City, CA, 1990).
- [5] E. Komatsu *et al.* (WMAP Collaboration), arXiv:0803.0547 [astro-ph].
- [6] L. Malyshkin and R. Kulsrud, astro-ph/0202284.
- [7] D. Biskamp, *Nonlinear Magnetohydrodynamics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1993).
- [8] It is worth noting that, after a galaxy forms owing to protogalaxy collapse, the typical velocity of turbulent plasma is no longer given by the virial thermal speed but is determined primarily by astrophysical mechanism such as supernovae explosions and differential rotation of the galactic disk.
- [9] D. T. Son, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 063008 (1999); R. Banerjee and K. Jedamzik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 251301 (2003); **93**, 179901(E) (2004); Phys. Rev. D **70**, 123003 (2004); L. Campanelli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 251302 (2007).
- [10] Estimates based on “spherical infall model” indicate that $z_{\text{pg}} \simeq 50$. However, we stress here that galactic disks form at a much later epoch, at a redshift of order $z \sim \text{few}$.
- [11] O. Bertolami and D. F. Mota, Phys. Lett. B **455**, 96 (1999).
- [12] V. A. Kostecký, R. Potting and S. Samuel, in *Proceedings of the 1991 Joint International Lepton-Photon Symposium and Europhysics Conference on High Energy Physics*, edited by S. Hegarty, K. Potter, E. Quercigh (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992).
- [13] A. Mazumdar and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 011301 (2001); K. Bamba and J. Yokoyama, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 083508 (2004).
- [14] J. Gamboa and J. Lopez-Sarrion, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 067702 (2005).

- [15] V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 105009 (2004).
- [16] S. M. Carroll, G. B. Field, and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 1231 (1990).
- [17] D. Colladay and V. A. Kostelecký, Phys. Rev. D **55**, 6760 (1997); **58**, 116002 (1998).
- [18] Although the Chern-Simons term in Eq. (1) *explicitly* breaks Lorentz invariance, we are tacitly assuming that it appears owing to a *spontaneous* breaking of Lorentz symmetry whose effects can be indeed approximated by terms in the action with explicit symmetry breaking [15].
- [19] J. D. Barrow and C. G. Tsagas, arXiv:0803.0660 [astro-ph].
- [20] M. S. Turner and L. M. Widrow, Phys. Rev. D **37**, 2743 (1988).
- [21] A. S. Monin and A. M. Yaglom, *Statistical Fluid Mechanics* (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1975).
- [22] L. Campanelli, arXiv:0805.0575 [astro-ph].
- [23] C. Caprini, R. Durrer, and T. Kahniashvili, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 063006 (2004).
- [24] V. A. Kostelecký and M. Mewes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 011601 (2007).
- [25] S. M. Carroll, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 3067 (1998).
- [26] In order to have simple formulae, we approximated $\int_0^\infty dk k^3 e^{-k^2 \lambda^2} e^{2|\eta_{\text{rh}}| \sqrt{mk}}$ with $\lambda^{-3} \int_0^{1/\lambda} dk e^{2|\eta_{\text{rh}}| \sqrt{mk}}$.
- [27] For reviews on Inflation and BBN see, respectively: D. H. Lyth and A. Riotto, Phys. Rept. **314**, 1 (1999); S. Sarkar, Rept. Prog. Phys. **59**, 1493 (1996).
- [28] In [D. Biskamp and W. C. Müller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 2195 (1999); M. Christensson, M. Hindmarsh, and A. Brandenburg, Astron. Nachr. **326**, 393 (2005) [astro-ph/0209119]; L. Campanelli, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 083009 (2004)], a different scaling law for the magnetic correlation length was obtained in the case of freely-decaying magnetohydrodynamic helical turbulence: $\xi \propto \eta^{1/2}$. The use of this law would give a too small physical magnetic correlation length at the time of protogalactic collapse and then would spoil the effectiveness of our mechanism for explaining galactic magnetism.
- [29] Galactic dynamo is a still-debated amplification mechanism operating within galaxies which, in principle, could allow extremely weak seed fields to reproduce the properties of presently-observed galactic fields. For a review on dynamo mechanisms see, e.g.: A. Brandenburg and K. Subramanian, Phys. Rept. **417**, 1 (2005).
- [30] M. Giovannini, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 123507 (2004); T. Kahniashvili and B. Ratra, *ibid.* **70**, 103006 (2005).